

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/458,336	12/10/1999	RICHARD F. GRAVEMAN	APP-1146-US	8736	
/ 9941	7590 04/27/2004		EXAMINER		
TELCORDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ONE TELCORDIA DRIVE 5G116			DARROW, JUSTIN T		
PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854-4157			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2132	<u>. </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004	, <i>)</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			me.
	4	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	l l	09/458,336	GRAVEMAN, RICHARD F.
 Office Action Summar 	79	xaminer	Art Unit
		ustin T. Darrow	2132
	nmunication appea	rs on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMI - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi - If the period for reply specified above, the maximaling the movement of the properties of the proper	MUNICATION. Divisions of 37 CFR 1.136(assionmunication. Sthirty (30) days, a reply winum statutory period will assor reply will, by statute, canonths after the mailing da	a). In no event, however, may a re thin the statutory minimum of thirty apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT use the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>02 Marc</i>	ch 2004.	
2a) This action is FINAL .		ction is non-final.	
	• —		rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the	oractice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			•
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in	the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16		rithdrawn from considera	tion.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 17-20,22-37 and 39-5	8 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 21 and 38 is/are object	ted to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to r	estriction and/or e	lection requirement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 Dece	*	a) accepted or b) ⊠	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the dra	wing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc	luding the correction	is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Exan	niner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None	of:		
1. Certified copies of the pri	ority documents h	ave been received.	
2. Certified copies of the pri	ority documents h	ave been received in Ap	plication No
Copies of the certified co	pies of the priority	documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
application from the Inter	national Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office	action for a list of t	the certified copies not re	eceived.
Attachment(s)		_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892)	vious (DTO 049)	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2. 			ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
i. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Actio	Summany	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 5

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-65 have been presented for examination. Claims 1-65 have been restricted into Group I, claims 1-16 and 59-65, and Group II, claims 17-58, in an Office action mailed 12/03/2003. Group II, claims 17-58, has been elected without traverse and Group I, claims 1-16 and 59-65, has been withdrawn from consideration in an election filed 03/04/2004. Claims 17-58 have been examined.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-16 and 59-65, drawn to an approximate message authentication code, a method performed by a cryptography device, and a method for determining an acceptable number of bit differences between a first and second approximate message authentication code, classified in class 714, subclass 758.
 - II. Claims 17-58, drawn to a method performed by a cryptography device and a device for generating an approximate message authentication code, classified in class 713, subclass 168.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions Group II and Group I are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination

Art Unit: 2132

as claimed because Group II does not recite all the groups of rows do not have the same number of rows. The subcombination has separate utility such as user authentication.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made 12/01/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 7. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in Paper No. 4, filed 03/02/2004, is acknowledged.
- 8. Applicant's suggestion of including claims 60-65 in Group II in Paper No. 4, filed 03/02/2004 is acknowledged. The reasoning for this suggestion is on the grounds that the methods in both Group I and Group II are performed on a cryptographic device. This is not found persuasive because the inventions Group I and Group II in combination and subcombination relationship are distinct because (1) the combination as claimed in Group I does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination of Group II has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Art Unit: 2132

Drawings

9. New formal drawings are required in this application because the drawings filed 12/10/1999 are informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

- 10. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informality: in page 23, line 11, after "majority", insert --bit--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 11. Claim 40 is objected to because of the following informality: in page 23, line 11, after "majority", insert --bit--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 12. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 13. Claims 40-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2132

Claim 40 recites the limitation "the randomized data" in page 25, line 29. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. This rejection can be overcome by deleting "randomized in claim 40, page 25, line 29, and replacing with --permuted--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

14. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

15. Claims 17-20, 22-37, 39-43, and 45-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is wholly inoperative for certain messages containing data and therefore lacks utility. "An inoperative invention, of course, does not satisfy the requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101 that an invention be useful." *In re Harwood*, 390 F. 2 985, 989, 156 USPQ 673, 676 (C.C.P.A. 1968). See MPEP § 2107.01 II. In Harwood, the claims drawn to a process for rendering insects sterile was claimed to administer a particular chemical to insects to eliminate symbionts. See *Harwood*, 156 USPQ at 675. Although the specification of certain species of insects that could not reproduce after becoming symbiont-free from the claimed process, the examiner cited evidence of other species of insects that were not sterile after removal of symbionts. See *id*. Because the claims included all insects, and there was evidence of certain insects where the claimed invention was inoperable, the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals affirmed the rejection "for lack of proof of utility" under 35 U.S.C. 101. See id. at 676.

In the instant application, the limitations recited in independent claims 17 and 40:

determining the majority bit value for each of the |A| columns for each of the T S-arrays

[with T rows]; and

Art Unit: 2132

determining the majority bit value for each of the |A| columns in the T array [having T rows],

represent steps that are inoperable for certain messages containing data.

Pires, U.S. Patent No. 6,269,164 B1, describes a message containing data arranged in a table and randomized (see column 14, lines 23-25; figure 12, Mask 41). Like the table in claims 17 and 40, Mask 41 has |A| columns where |A| = 4 and T² rows where T = 4 (see figure 12, Mask 41). Because the columns designated by N and O have equal numbers of 1 and 0 bits, the majority bit value cannot be determined for these columns (see figure 12, Mask 41). Because there exists certain messages containing data within the scope claimed in independent claims 17 and 40 where the majority bit value cannot be determined, the claimed invention is wholly inoperative for these messages.

This rejection can be overcome by "limit[ing] the claims to the area where operativeness has not been challenged by appropriate and convincing evidence." *Id.* In the instant application, this rejection can be overcome by incorporating the subject matter of dependent claims 21 or 38; and 44 or into independent claims 17 and 40, respectively. Alternatively, this rejection can be overcome by "submitting representative evidence refuting the apparent suggestion of inoperativeness of the invention as broadly claimed arising from the reference cited by the examiner." *Id.*

Art Unit: 2132

Allowable Subject Matter

- 16. Claims 21 and 38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim.
- 17. Claim 43 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 18. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 21 and 38; and 43 are drawn to a method and device for generating an approximate message authentication code, respectively. The closest prior art, Pires, U.S. Patent No. 6,269,164 B1, discloses a similar method and device. Pires illustrates:

receiving a message containing data and arranging the data into a table having |A| columns and T² rows, where A and T are integers (see column 13, lines 49-52; figure 15, item 45; receiving sixty-four binary bits of plain text); and

permuting, masking, and copying at least some of the arranged data into T S-arrays, each S-array having |A| columns (see column 14, lines 23-27; figure 12, items 34, 40, and 41; using the Bank by Bank rankings to generate the Mask based on a formula; see column 11, lines 43-46; figures 1, 3, and 4).

However, Pires neither teaches nor suggests determining a majority bit value of each of the |A| columns for each of the T S-arrays, with an odd number of rows. This particular feature incorporated in dependent claims 21 and 38; and 43 render them to have allowable subject matter.

Art Unit: 2132

Conclusion

- 19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Discovision Associates (Jones et al.), European Patent Application Publication No. EP 0
 674 266 A2, discloses representing data in a unique table for accessing.
 - Jiawook et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,125,183 A, discloses encryption by manipulating tabulated data

Telephone Inquiry Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin T. Darrow whose telephone number is (703) 305-3872 and whose electronic mail address is justin.darrow@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barrón, Jr., can be reached at (703) 305-1830.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes to Technology Center 2100 is (703) 872-9306. In order for a formal paper transmitted by fax to be entered into the application file, the paper and/or fax cover sheet must be signed by a representative for the applicant. Faxed formal papers for application file entry, such as amendments adding claims, extensions of time, and statutory disclaimers for which fees must be charged before entry, must be transmitted with an authorization to charge a deposit account to cover such fees. It is also recommended that the cover sheet for the fax of a formal paper have printed "OFFICIAL FAX". Formal papers

Art Unit: 2132

transmitted by fax usually require three business days for entry into the application file and

consideration by the examiner. Formal or Official faxes including amendments after final

rejection (37 CFR 1.116) should be submitted to (703) 872-9306 for expedited entry into the

application file. It is further recommended that the cover sheet for the fax containing an

amendment after final rejection have printed not only "OFFICIAL FAX" but also

"AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL".

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

April 22, 2004

JUSTIN T. DARROW
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Distri Danow